

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

MICHAEL A. COCKERHAM,	:	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	NO. 23-335
	:	
MARJORIE ANN COCKERHAM,	:	
Defendant.	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 1st day of May 2023, upon consideration of Plaintiff Michael A. Cockerham's Motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (DI 1), his *pro se* Amended Complaint (DI 9), assorted exhibits (DI 6-8, 10-12), and a Request to File Electronically (DI 5), it is **ORDERED**:

1. Mr. Cockerham's Motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (DI 1) is **GRANTED**.
2. The Amended Complaint is **DEEMED** filed.
3. Mr. Cockerham's Request to File Electronically (DI 5) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.¹
4. For the reasons stated in the Court's Memorandum, the Amended Complaint is **DISMISSED** as follows:

a. Mr. Cockerham's claims brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii); and,

¹ A review of the docket shows that Mr. Cockerham apparently resolved any issue with electronic filing as he appears to have successfully filed all of his submissions.

b. Mr. Cockerham's state law claims are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, and he may pursue those claims in the appropriate state court.

5. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to **close** this case.


MURPHY, J.